Public Comments: Against

		, ,	
	9.		
#)			
os J			
	W.		

Kathy Sander < kosanderrn@gmail.com >

Sent:

Monday, August 18, 2025 3:00 PM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Completed

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

I don't currently have a decibel reader on my iPhone, however, we do walk our dog over on Leviton during the weekends (because the noise of ALL the traffic on Tualatin Road) and there is always a loud continuous hum that is emitted from Lam. To add to the noise was a consistent beeping during the weekend of August 16-17.

I would also like to add that when there is a lower cloud cover and wind from the west and/or south, we get to hear Lam AND the gun club! Weekends and evenings should be a time for us to enjoy our peace and quiet, family gatherings, or to just hang out in the yard and all of these noises and traffic noise interrupt this time.

Thank you for your consideration.

Thanks,

Kathy Sander 10240 SW Sedlak Ct 503-998-1751

Sally Hildebran <sallyhildebran@gmail.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, August 13, 2025 4:29 PM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter

as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sally and Reed Hildebran

Sent from my iPhone

kminato4sin@gmail.com

Sent:

Wednesday, August 13, 2025 10:30 PM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; 'STR Outreach'

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts

Hello Tualatin Planning Department and Mr. Leonard, Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

My name is Kazuki Minato and I resided at 11445 SW Roberts Ct. in Tualatin of 155th Ave.

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's offsite noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kazuki Minato 11445 SW Roberts Ct. Tualatin, OR 97062 Kminato4sin@gmail.com

Fran Avery <franavery7@gmail.com> From: Wednesday, August 13, 2025 4:54 PM Sent:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning To:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org Cc:

Lam Noise Impacts Subject:



This message needs your attention

This is a personal email address.

This is their first mail to some recipients.

Report this Email or Mark as pagered by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical

plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Thank you for your consideration.

God Bless, Fran Avery

Brett Hamilton

brett@simple.be>
Monday, August 18, 2025 3:34 PM

Sent: To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning Council; Outreach

Cc: Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road onramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

=	
-	

Camille Herr < camilleherr 3@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, August 15, 2025 7:33 AM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter

as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Thank you for your consideration.

Camille

Cathy Baedor <cjbaedor@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, August 17, 2025 6:25 PM Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

To: Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts



This message needs your attention

- Some Recipients have never replied to this person.
- This is a personal email address.

Report this Email or Mark as Safaered by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at

night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's offsite noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

daniel_affonso <daniel_affonso@comcast.net>

Sent:

Saturday, August 16, 2025 4:22 PM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance



This message needs your attention

- This is a personal email address.
- This is their first email to your company.

Report this Email or Mark as Seffered by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road onramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

Sente on Similary Stroy in a for the

Jasmine Walling < jasminewalling 26@gmail.com>

Sent: To: Sunday, August 17, 2025 2:20 PM Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance



This message needs your attention

- No employee in your company has ever replied to this person.
- This is a personal email address.

Report this Email or Mark as Referred by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road onramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

== ==			
7			
		9)	
2			
	X		

Jasmine Walling < jasminewalling 26@gmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, August 17, 2025 2:20 PM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts



This message needs your attention

- This is a personal email address.
- This is their first email to your company.

Report this Email or Mark as pagered by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical

plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Jim Milne <Jim.Milne@jedunn.com> Sunday, August 17, 2025 8:33 AM

Sent: To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road onramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

2			
81			
		á	

Kay Cusick <kcastrid50@gmail.com> Sunday, August 17, 2025 12:34 PM

Sent: To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts



This message needs your attention

- No employee in your company has ever replied to this person.
- This is a personal email address.

Report this Email or Mark as Faffered by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical

plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kay C.

Jim Milne <Jim.Milne@jedunn.com> Sunday, August 17, 2025 8:33 AM

Sent: To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road onramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

4			
•			
Y			

Kathy Sander < kosanderrn@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, August 18, 2025 3:00 PM

To: Cc: Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Completed

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with

other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

I don't currently have a decibel reader on my iPhone, however, we do walk our dog over on Leviton during the weekends (because the noise of ALL the traffic on Tualatin Road) and there is always a loud continuous hum that is emitted from Lam. To add to the noise was a consistent beeping during the weekend of August 16-17.

I would also like to add that when there is a lower cloud cover and wind from the west and/or south, we get to hear Lam AND the gun club! Weekends and evenings should be a time for us to enjoy our peace and quiet, family gatherings, or to just hang out in the yard and all of these noises and traffic noise interrupt this time.

Thank you for your consideration.

Thanks,

Kathy Sander 10240 SW Sedlak Ct 503-998-1751

Kay Cusick <kcastrid50@gmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, August 17, 2025 12:34 PM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts



This message needs your attention

- No employee in your company has ever replied to this person.
- This is a personal email address.

Report this Email or Mark as Saffered by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical

plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kay C.

Kay Cusick <kcastrid50@gmail.com> Sunday, August 17, 2025 12:34 PM

Sent: To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance



This message needs your attention

- This is a personal email address.
- · This is their first email to your company.

Report this Email or Mark as pagered by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road on ramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

Thank you for your consideration.

Kay C.

1			
×			

Megan Davidson < meganldavidson@gmail.com >

Sent:

Thursday, August 14, 2025 4:16 PM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road onramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

Thank you for your consideration, Megan and Tyler Scroggins

200		
	295	

Michelle Affonso <affonsom@msn.com>

Sent:

Saturday, August 16, 2025 4:29 PM

To:

Ext - Planning; Keith Leonard

Cc:

outreach@tualatinroad.org; Council

Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance



This message needs your attention

- This is a personal email address.
- · This is their first email to your company.

Report this Email or Mark as Referred by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road onramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

			= 8
10°			
		ic .	

Sandra Shadron <sshadron@mac.com>

Sent:

Friday, August 15, 2025 7:49 AM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter

as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sandra

Sandra Shadron <sshadron@mac.com>

Sent:

Friday, August 15, 2025 7:49 AM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam 108th Ave Proposed Entrance

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Lam's updated TUX proposal. While I appreciate the work that has been done to eliminate the employee entrance on Tualatin Road at SW 115th Ave and maintain the Leveton driveway, I am opposed to the addition of a new employee entrance on SW 108th Avenue, as it would increase peak traffic volumes on Tualatin Road.

Lam's proposed entrance on 108th fails three of the new driveway approach criteria in TDC 75.020.5: "(g) The proposed driveway approach does not result in significant adverse impacts to the vicinity; (h) The proposed driveway approach minimizes impact to the functionality of adjacent streets and intersections; and (i) The proposed driveway approach balances the adverse impacts to residentially zoned property and the functionality of adjacent streets."

Lam's traffic study shows that the Hazelbrook Road onramp to Hwy 99W will fail to meet performance standards after adding the additional traffic volumes from this proposed 108th entrance.

Lam's traffic study also shows that the 108th / Tualatin Road intersection has 5X the crash rate of 115th / Tualatin Road despite carrying much less traffic. Adding more traffic at 108th will increase this crash rate even further.

NO new employee access on 108th NO added traffic on Tualatin Road Use Leveton Drive and Herman Road

Thank you for your consideration.

Sandra

V V

From: Sent: Trace Helms <tracehelms@gmail.com> Friday, August 15, 2025 10:13 AM

To:

Keith Leonard; Ext - Planning

Cc:

Council; outreach@tualatinroad.org

Subject:

Lam Noise Impacts



This message needs your attention

- Some Recipients have never replied to this person.
- This is a personal email address.

Report this Email or Mark as Saffered by Your Friends at Information Services

Dear Tualatin Planning Commission and Architectural Review Board,

Thank you for your dedication and service to the community, and for your work to protect our quality of life. While development and corporate activities are essential to the vitality of our city, residential land uses must also be protected, both from an economic standpoint (property values) and from a quality of life standpoint (mental and physical health). In keeping with this mission, we are asking for your help in resolving Lam's noise pollution problem.

Multiple noise signatures have been identified emanating from Lam's campus, including clear tones that pulse, two-toned harmonics, and a low rumble that sounds like an old bomber airplane. The most distinctive sound is the high-frequency hiss from Lam's gas plant, clearly audible across Tualatin Road most nights.

We have brought this issue to the attention of the City Council, Police Department, Code Enforcement, Riverpark CIO, and Lam. Despite repeated requests for a solution, no meaningful changes have been made. When Tualatin's police officers have driven onto the Lam campus to investigate noise complaints, they have been chased down by Lam's security guards and asked to leave.

The Tualatin Noise Ordinance (1361-13) sets a city-wide limit of 50dB at night for residential areas, and the Tualatin Development Code (62.100) places even stricter limits on Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning, stating that "Such permitted uses must not cause objectionable noise". A noise study performed earlier this year at night by a licensed acoustical enginneer measured noise levels of 52dB at a private residence across the street from Lam's gas plant. Therefore, Lam's offsite noise impacts are in violation of both the Tualatin Development Code and the Tualatin Municipal Code.

Lam's noise pollution problem is not caused by daytime construction, backup beepers, or truck horns, but rather, by permanently-installed equipment that is part of Lam's physical plant. This equipment runs 24/7 and generates sound continuously. The sounds are most

noticeable at night when background noise is low, and the location of sound impacts can vary depending on atmospheric conditions, especially temperature and wind direction. During southerly winds, Lam's noise signature can be heard more than a mile away.

Manufacturing Park (MP) zoning serves as a buffer between heavy industry and residential areas. MP zoning calls for "limiting uses to those that are of a nature so as to not conflict with other industrial uses or surrounding residential areas." (TDC 62.100) Please consider this letter as evidence that Lam's operations are, in fact, currently conflicting with surrounding residential areas.

In order to bring Lam's facility into compliance with the Tualatin Code, I request that Lam's off-site noise impacts be eliminated as part of the TUX project. It is absolutely critical to our quality of life that peace and quiet be restored to our neighborhoods. We ask the City of Tualatin to require Lam to prove their ability to eliminate offsite noise before approving the addition of any new noise sources on campus.

Thanks,

Trace Helms