## Memorandum

To: Mike McCarthy, City of Tualatin
Copy: David Force, Lennar Northwest
Mimi Doukas, AKS Engineering \& Forestry, LL.C
From: Jennifer Danziger, PE,
Date: September 20, 2021
Subject: Supplement to Autumn Subdivision TIS - Evaluation of Potential Commercial


RENEWS: 12.31 .21

## Introduction

This memorandum supplements the proposed Autumn Sunrise Subdivision Transportation Impact Study (TIS) with three development alternatives on the commercially-zoned parcels abutting SW Boones Ferry Road. These parcels are not part of the subdivision; the specific timing and type of development that could occur on these parcels is unknown.

## Commercial Development Concepts

The Neighborhood Commercial (CN) zoning abutting SW Boones Ferry Road will be divided into two parcels to accommodate the proposed Autumn Sunrise site access at SW Boones Ferry Road. The attached site plan shows how the area could potentially be developed. In addition to the proposed stormwater facility, the parcel could accommodate a 3,600-square-foot (SF) building with parking north of the site access and a 10,000-SF building with parking to the south. Both parking lots would take access from the proposed site access approximately 100 feet east of SW Boones Ferry Road.

Tualatin Development Code (TDC) Chapter 51 establishes the standards for the CN zone. According to the TDC, "the primary uses are intended to include professional offices, services, and retail oriented to the day-to-day needs of adjacent neighborhoods." Commercial uses in the CN zone are extremely limited. With that in mind, and considering community feedback a public meetings, two potential concepts were developed for the two buildings:

1. $13,600 \mathrm{SF}$ of general retail in the two buildings
2. $5,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of day care center in one building plus 8,600 of general retail in the remaining space

## Trip Generation

To estimate trips generated by the three potential development concepts, trip rates from the Trip Generation Manual' were used. Within the general retail, permitted uses under TDC Chapter 51 are limited to general

[^0]merchandise or variety stores such as small food stores (<4,000 SF), drug stores, laundry and dry cleaning, beauty and barber shops, and shoe repair. Trip generation rates for many of these uses are non-existent or very limited; therefore, Land Use 820 - Shopping Center was applied for the general retail components of the concepts. Land Use 565 - Day Care Center was applied for the other concept. All trip generation is based on gross floor area. Table 1 presents a comparison of trip generation for the three development concepts.

Table 1: Trip Generation Summary

| Description (ITE Code) | Intensity (DU) | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Concept 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shopping Center (820) | 13.6 KSF | 8 | 5 | 13 | 25 | 27 | 52 | 514 |
| Internal with Autumn Sunrise |  | -1 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -7 | -10 | NA |
| Total External |  | 7 | 4 | 11 | 22 | 20 | 42 | NA |
| Concept 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day Care Center (565) | 5 KSF | 29 | 26 | 55 | 26 | 30 | 56 | 119 |
| Shopping Center (820) | 8.6 KSF | 5 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 33 | 324 |
| Subtotal |  | 34 | 29 | 63 | 42 | 47 | 89 | 443 |
| Internal with Autumn Sunrise |  | -2 | -1 | -3 | -4 | -12 | -16 | NA |
| Total External |  | 32 | 28 | 60 | 38 | 35 | 73 | NA |

Although some of the uses may attract pass-by trips, the analysis was performed assuming all trips associated with the commercial development would pass through the site access intersection with SW Boones Ferry Road. However, trips that could be internal with the proposed Autumn Sunrise subdivision were accounted for based on the Transportation Research Board report, Enhancing Internal Trip Capture Estimation for Mixed-Use Developments. ${ }^{2}$

## Trip Distribution

The directional distribution of potential commercial trips was assumed to be:

- Approximately 50 percent of traveling to/from the north on SW Boones Ferry Road
- Approximately 50 percent of traveling to/from the south on SW Boones Ferry Road


## Trip Assignment

The resulting trip assignment is shown in Figure 1 for the site access intersection with SW Boones Ferry. Note, the intersection is shown as having four legs to account for the future configuration with a frontage road connection that will be opened with construction of the Basalt Creek Parkway Extension (BCPE).

[^1]

Figure 1: Potential Commercial Development Trip Assignment
Total Traffic - 2026 Buildout with BCPE
The potential commercial traffic for each concept was added to the year 2026 buildout forecast with the BSCE that was shown for Intersection 6 in Figure 6C of the Autumn Sunrise Subdivision TIS. The resulting volumes are shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2: 2026 Buildout with BCPE and Potential Commercial Development

## Warrant Analysis

Turn lane warrants and preliminary traffic signal warrants were examined for the study intersections where such treatments would be applicable.

## Left-Turn Lane Warrants

SW Boones Ferry Road already has a center refuge lane that would be serve as a left-turn lane for the site access at that location; warrants were not evaluated.

## Right-Turn Lane Warrants

Right-turn lane warrants were examined at the SW Boones Ferry Road site access under the Year 2026 buildout conditions. Table 9 of the TIS shows that northbound right-turn lane warrants are met at the proposed site access on SW Boones Ferry Road under the 2026 buildout scenario for both analysis periods. Given the 45-mph posted speed and higher traffic volumes, a northbound turn lane is recommended at this access.

## Traffic Signal Warrants

Preliminary traffic signal warrants were examined at the site access intersection to determine whether the installation of a new traffic signal will be warranted with any of the potential commercial development concepts. The preliminary warrants are typically calculated based on the evening peak hour volumes assuming the daily demand is 10 times the evening peak hour. Because the volumes were higher in the morning under some of the scenarios, the warrants were also evaluated considering a daily demand that is 10 times the morning peak hour., which is a less likely scenario. The results are summarized in Table 2 for Year 2026 conditions with full buildout of the proposed development and the BCPE plus the two commercial concepts. A two-lane (left-through and right) approach for the site access is assumed. Detailed information on the warrant analysis is attached.

Table 2: Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrants at the Site Access on SW Boones Ferry Road with BCPE

| Scenario | Warrant Met? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Based on Morning Peak | Based on Evening Peak |
| Year 2026 Conditions + Commercial Concept 1 | No | No |
| Year 2026 Conditions + Commercial Concept 2 | No | No |
| Yyy | No | No |

As shown in Table 2, preliminary traffic signal warrants are not met with the commercial concepts.

## Operations Analysis

An operations analysis was conducted for site access intersection with SW Boones Ferry Road per the signalized and unsignalized intersection analysis methodologies in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) ${ }^{3}$. Intersections are generally evaluated based on the average control delay experienced by vehicles and are assigned a grade according to their operation. The level of service (LOS) of an intersection can range from LOS A, which indicates very little, or no delay experienced by vehicles, to LOS F, which indicates a high degree of congestion and delay.

[^2]The volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio is a measure that compares the traffic volumes (demand) against the available capacity of an intersection.

## Performance Standards

The following agency performance standards are applicable to the intersection:

- The City of Tualatin requires intersections to operate at a minimum D and E for signalized and unsignalized intersections, respectively.
- Washington County requires intersections to operate with a v/c ratio of 0.99 or less.


## Delay \& Capacity Analysis

The LOS, delay, and v/c results of the capacity analysis are shown in Table 3 for Year 2026 conditions with full buildout of the proposed development and the BCPE plus the two commercial concepts. A two-lane (leftthrough and right) approach for the site access is assumed. The northbound left is assumed to be striped as a two-way, left-turn lane for the unsignalized scenarios to allow for a two-stage left-turn movement from the site access. Detailed calculations are attached.

Table 3: Capacity Analysis Summary at the Site Access on SW Boones Ferry Road with BCPE

| Intersection \& Scenario | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s) | V/C | LOS | Delay (s) | V/C |
| Year 2026 Conditions with BCPE* | D | 25 | 0.40 | D | 27 | 0.31 |
| Year 2026 Conditions + Commercial Concept 1 | D | 26 | 0.41 | D | 30 | 0.37 |
| Year 2026 Conditions + Commercial Concept 2 | D | 28 | 0.47 | D | 32 | 0.41 |

* The results of the analysis without the concepts differs slightly from those presented in the TIS because the evaluation in this table does not account for the influence of upstream traffic signals.

As shown in Table 3, the intersection would meet performance standards with both commercial concepts with a two-lane approach for the site access.

## Queuing

An analysis of queuing was conducted for the site access to identify how development of the commercial land could affect storage requirements for the site access intersection at SW Boones Ferry Road. The analysis was conducted based on the results of a SimTraffic simulation. Five (5) simulations were conducted, averaged, and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue estimates were rounded up to the nearest 25 feet, or the approximate length of one vehicle to estimate the queue lengths.

Table 4 reports the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue estimates for the southbound left-turn, northbound left-turn, and the westbound left-through lanes. The northbound left is assumed to be striped as a two-way, left-turn lane to allow for a two-stage left-turn movement from the site access. However, SimTraffic cannot simulate this twostage movement; therefore, the westbound left-turn queue estimates are conservatively long.

Table 4: Queue Lengths at the Site Access on SW Boones Ferry Road with BCPE

| Intersection \& Scenario | Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Evening Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SB Left | NB Left | WB Left | SB Left | NB Left | WB Left |
| Year 2026 Conditions with BCPE | 50 ft | $<25 \mathrm{ft}$ | 150 ft | 50 ft | $<25 \mathrm{ft}$ | 100 ft |
| Year 2026 Conditions + Commercial Concept 2 | 50 ft | $<25 \mathrm{ft}$ | 125 ft | 50 ft | $<25 \mathrm{ft}$ | 150 ft |
| Year 2026 Conditions + Commercial Concept 3 | 50 ft | $<25 \mathrm{ft}$ | 200 ft | 50 ft | $<25 \mathrm{ft}$ | 175 ft |

As shown in Table 4, under the most intensive concept, the maximum southbound storage requirement was estimated at:

- Two vehicles or 50 feet for the southbound left, which can easily be accommodated in the existing center refuge lane
- An occasional single vehicle or 25 feet for the northbound left, which can easily be accommodated in the existing center refuge lane
- Eight vehicles or 200 feet for the westbound left, which could be accommodated on the site access road without affecting the closest public street connection ("M" Street) to the east.


## Conclusions

The conclusions below were developed as an exercise to understand how development of the commerciallyzoned parcels abutting SW Boones Ferry Road could affect the configuration and traffic control at the site access (" H " Street) intersection. These parcels are not part of the subdivision; the specific timing and type of development that could occur on these parcels is unknown. Findings include:

- SW Boones Ferry Road already has a center refuge lane that would be serve as a left-turn lane for the site access at that location; warrants were not evaluated.
- The TIS recommends a northbound right-turn lane on SW Boones Ferry Road at the site access, no other conditions were evaluated.
- Preliminary traffic signal warrants would not be met with the commercial concepts and the two-lane (left-through and right) approach planned for the site access.
- The intersection at SW Boones Ferry Road would meet performance standards with both commercial concepts with a two-lane approach for the site access.
- Maximum queues were estimated at two vehicles or 50 feet for the southbound left, which can easily be accommodated in the existing center refuge lane
- Maximum queues were estimated at one vehicle or 25 feet for the northbound left, which can easily be accommodated in the existing center refuge lane
- Maximum queues were estimated at eight vehicles or 200 feet for a separate westbound left, which could be accommodated on the site access road without affecting the closest public street connection ("M" Street) to the east.


AUTUMN SUNRISE


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shopping Center | 820 | 13.6 KSF | 8 | 5 | 13 | 25 | 27 | 52 | 514 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Option 1 - Basic Shopping Center (13.6 KSF)

|  | AM Peak |  |  | Internal \% Initial |  | Internal Initial |  | Internal Balanced |  | External |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | 1 n | Out |
| Residential | 67 | 204 | 271 | 2\% | 1\% | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 66 | 203 |
| Commercial 1 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 17\% | 14\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 |

Option 2 - Day Care (5 KSF) + Basic Shopping Center (8.6 KSF)

|  | AM Peak |  |  | Internal \% Initial |  | Internal Initial |  | Internal Balanced |  | External |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| Residential | 67 | 207 | 274 | 2\% | 1\% | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 66 | 205 |
| Commercial 1 | 34 | 29 | 63 | 17\% | 14\% | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 32 | 28 |

Option 1 - Basic Shopping Center (13.6 KSF)

|  | PM Peak |  |  | Internal \% Initial |  | Internal Initial |  | Internal Balanced |  | External |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| Residential | 225 | 133 | 358 | 46\% | 42\% | 104 | 56 | 7 | 3 | 218 | 130 |
| Commercial 1 | 25 | 27 | 52 | 10\% | 26\% | 3 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 22 | 20 |

Option 2 - Day Care (5 KSF) + Basic Shopping Center (8.6 KSF)

|  | PM Peak |  |  | Internal \% Initial |  | Internal Initial |  | Internal Balanced |  | External |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| Residential | 225 | 133 | 358 | 46\% | 42\% | 104 | 56 | 12 | 4 | 213 | 129 |
| Commercial 1 | 42 | 47 | 89 | 10\% | 26\% | 4 | 12 | 4 | 12 | 38 | 35 |

TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Day Care Center<br>Land Use Code: 565<br>Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Variable: 1,000 Sq Ft Gross Floor Area<br>Variable Value: 5

AM PEAK HOUR
Trip Rate: 11.00

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $53 \%$ | $47 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 29 | 26 | 55 |

WEEKDAY
Trip Rate: 47.62

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 119 | 119 | 238 |

PM PEAK HOUR
Trip Rate: 11.12

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $47 \%$ | $53 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 26 | 30 | 56 |

## SATURDAY

Trip Rate: 6.22

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 16 | 16 | 32 |

TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Land Use: Shopping Center<br>Land Use Code: 820<br>Setting/Location General Urban/Suburban<br>Variable: 1,000 Sq. Ft. GFA<br>Variable Value: 13.6

AM PEAK HOUR
Trip Rate: 0.94
PM PEAK HOUR
Trip Rate: 3.81

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $62 \%$ | $38 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 8 | 5 | 13 |

WEEKDAY
Trip Rate: 37.75

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 257 | 257 | 514 |


|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 25 | 27 | 52 |

SATURDAY
Trip Rate: 46.12

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 314 | 314 | 628 |

# TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS 

Land Use: Shopping Center<br>Land Use Code: 820<br>Setting/Location General Urban/Suburban<br>Variable: 1,000 Sq. Ft. GFA<br>Variable Value: 8.6

## AM PEAK HOUR

Trip Rate: 0.94

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $62 \%$ | $38 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 5 | 3 | 8 |

WEEKDAY
Trip Rate: 37.75

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 162 | 162 | 324 |

PM PEAK HOUR
Trip Rate: 3.81

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 16 | 17 | 33 |

SATURDAY
Trip Rate: 46.12

|  | Enter | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directional <br> Distribution | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Trip Ends | 198 | 198 | 396 |

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Summary

Intersection
Site Access at SW Boones Ferry Road
Year 2026 Conditions (Based on AM) w/ 2-lane Exit ..... No
Year 2026 Conditions (Based on PM) w/ 2-lane Exit ..... No
Year 2026 Conditions (Based on AM) + Basic Shopping Center (13.6 KSF) w/ 2-lane Exit ..... No
Year 2026 Conditions (Based on PM) + Basic Shopping Center (13.6 KSF) w/ 2-lane Exit ..... No
Year 2026 Conditions (Based on AM) + Day Care (5 KSF) + Basic Shopping Center (8.6 KSF) w/ 2-lane Exit ..... No
Year 2026 Conditions (Based on PM) + Day Care (5 KSF) + Basic Shopping Center (8.6 KSF) w/ 2-lane Exit ..... No
o No
o NoWarrant Met?

Warrant Met?

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

| Project: | 21029 - Autumn Sunrise |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: | 9/20/2021 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: | Year 2026 Conditions (Based on AM) w/ 2-lane Exit |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street: | SW Boones Ferry |  | Minor Street: | Site Access |  |
| Number of Lanes: | 1 |  | Number of Lanes: | 1 |  |
| AM Peak Hour Volumes: | k 1302 |  | AM Peak <br> Hour Volumes: | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ 58 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Rights <br> RT Discount |
| Warrant Used: |  |  |  |  |  |
| X 100 percent of standard warrants used |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 percent of standard warrants used due to 85th percentile speed in excess |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes for Moving |  | ADT on Major St. |  | ADT on Minor St. | (higher-volume approach) |
| WARRANT 1, CONDITIO | N A | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% |
| Major St. | Minor St. | Warrants | Warrants | Warrants | Warrants |
| 1 | 1 | 8,850 | 6,200 | 2,650 | 1,850 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 10,600 | 7,400 | 2,650 | 1,850 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 10,600 | 7,400 | 3,550 | 2,500 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 8,850 | 6,200 | 3,550 | 2,500 |
| WARRANT 1, CONDITION B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
| Note: ADT volumes assume 8th highest hour is $5.6 \%$ of the daily volume |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Is Signal Warrant |  |  |
|  |  | Approach Volu | Minimum Volumes | Met? |  |
| Warrant 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition A: Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 13,020 | 8,850 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 960 | 2,650 | No |  |
| Condition B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 13,020 | 13,300 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 960 | 1,350 | No |  |
| Combination Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 13,020 | 10,640 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 960 | 2,120 | No |  |

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

| Project: | 21029 - Autumn Sunrise |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: | 9/20/2021 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: | Year 2026 Conditions (Based on PM) w/ 2-lane Exit |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street: | SW Boones Ferry |  | Minor Street: | Site Access |  |
| Number of Lanes: | 1 |  | Number of Lanes: | 1 |  |
| PM Peak Hour Volumes: | k 1596 |  | PM Peak <br> Hour Volumes: | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 38 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Rights <br> RT Discount |
| Warrant Used: |  |  |  |  |  |
| X 100 percent of standard warrants used |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 percent of standard warrants used due to 85th percentile speed in excess |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes for Moving |  | ADT on Major St. |  | ADT on Minor St. |  |
| Traffic on E | Each Approach: | (total of | approaches) | (higher-volume approach) |  |
| WARRANT 1, CONDITION | N A | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% |
| Major St. | Minor St. | Warrants | Warrants | Warrants | Warrants |
| 1 | 1 | 8,850 | 6,200 | 2,650 | 1,850 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 10,600 | 7,400 | 2,650 | 1,850 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 10,600 | 7,400 | 3,550 | 2,500 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 8,850 | 6,200 | 3,550 | 2,500 |
| WARRANT 1, CONDITION B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
|  |  | Note: ADT volumes assume 8th highest hour is $5.6 \%$ of the daily volume |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is Signal Warrant |  |  |  |
|  |  | Approach Volu | Minimum Volumes | Met? |  |
| Warrant 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition A: Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 15,960 | 8,850 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 620 | 2,650 | No |  |
| Condition B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 15,960 | 13,300 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 620 | 1,350 | No |  |
| Combination Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 15,960 | 10,640 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 620 | 2,120 | No |  |

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

| Project: | 21029 - Autumn Sunrise |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: | 9/20/2021 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: | Year 2026 Conditions (Based on AM) + Basic Shopping Center (13.6 KSF) w/ 2-lane Exit |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street: | SW Boones Ferry |  | Minor Street: Site Access |  |  |
| Number of Lanes: | 1 |  | Number of Lanes: | 1 |  |
| PM Peak Hour Volumes: | k 1309 |  | PM Peak Hour Volumes: | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ 60 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Rights <br> RT Discount |
| Warrant Used: |  |  |  |  |  |
| X 100 percent of standard warrants used |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 percent of standard warrants used due to 85th percentile speed in excess |  |  |  |  |  |
| of 40 mph or isolated community with population less than 10,000. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes for Moving |  | ADT on Major St. |  | ADT on Minor St. |  |
| Traffic on | Each Approach: | (total of | approaches) | (higher-volume approach) |  |
| WARRANT 1, CONDITIO | N A | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% |
| Major St. | Minor St. | Warrants | Warrants | Warrants | Warrants |
| 1 | 1 | 8,850 | 6,200 | 2,650 | 1,850 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 10,600 | 7,400 | 2,650 | 1,850 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 10,600 | 7,400 | 3,550 | 2,500 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 8,850 | 6,200 | 3,550 | 2,500 |
| WARRANT 1, CONDITION B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
| Note: ADT volumes assume 8th highest hour is $5.6 \%$ of the daily volume |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Is Signal Warrant |  |  |
|  |  | Approach Volu | Minimum Volumes | Met? |  |
| Warrant 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition A: Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 13,090 | 8,850 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 980 | 2,650 | No |  |
| Condition B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 13,090 | 13,300 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 980 | 1,350 | No |  |
| Combination Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 13,090 | 10,640 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 980 | 2,120 | No |  |

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

| Project: | 21029 - Autumn Sunrise |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: | 9/20/2021 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: | Year 2026 Conditions (Based on PM) + Basic Shopping Center (13.6 KSF) w/ 2-lane Exit |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street: | SW Boones Fer |  | Minor Street: Site Access |  |  |
| Number of Lanes: | 1 |  | Number of Lanes: | 1 |  |
| PM Peak Hour Volumes: | k: 1618 |  | PM Peak Hour Volumes: | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ 48 \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Rights <br> RT Discount |
| Warrant Used: |  |  |  |  |  |
| X | 100 percent of standard warrants used |  |  |  |  |
| 70 percent of standard warrants used due to 85th percentile speed in excess |  |  |  |  |  |
| of 40 mph or isolated community with population less than 10,000. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes for Moving |  | ADT on Major St. |  | ADT on Minor St. |  |
| Traffic on E | Each Approach: | (total of both approaches) |  | (higher-volume approach) |  |
| WARRANT 1, CONDITION | N A | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% |
| Major St. | Minor St. | Warrants | Warrants | Warrants | Warrants |
| 1 | 1 | 8,850 | 6,200 | 2,650 | 1,850 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 10,600 | 7,400 | 2,650 | 1,850 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 10,600 | 7,400 | 3,550 | 2,500 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 8,850 | 6,200 | 3,550 | 2,500 |
| WARRANT 1, CONDITION B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
| Note: ADT volumes assume 8th highest hour is $5.6 \%$ of the daily volume |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is Signal Warrant |  |  |  |
|  |  | Approach Volu | Minimum Volumes | Met? |  |
| Warrant 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition A: Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 16,180 | 8,850 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 720 | 2,650 | No |  |
| Condition B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 16,180 | 13,300 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 720 | 1,350 | No |  |
| Combination Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street |  | 16,180 | 10,640 |  |  |
| Minor Street* |  | 720 | 2,120 | No |  |

## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

| Project: | 21029 - Autumn Sunrise |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: | 9/20/2021 |  |  |  |
| Scenario: | Year 2026 Conditions (Based on AM) + Day Care (5 KSF) + Basic Shopping Center (8.6 KSF) w/ |  |  |  |
| Major Street: | SW Boones Ferry Road | Minor Street: | Site Access |  |
| Number of Lanes: | 1 | Number of Lanes: | 1 |  |
| PM Peak Hour Volumes | 1334 |  | 182 | Total |
|  |  | PM Peak <br> Hour Volumes: | 72 | Rights |
|  |  |  | 100\% | RT Discount |

Warrant Used:
X
100 percent of standard warrants used
70 percent of standard warrants used due to 85 th percentile speed in excess
of 40 mph or isolated community with population less than 10,000.

Number of Lanes for Moving
Traffic on Each Approach:

| WARRANT 1, CONDITION A |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major St. |  | Minor St. |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 or more | 1 |  |
| 2 or more | 2 or more |  |
| 1 | 2 or more |  |

WARRANT 1, CONDITION B

| 1 | 1 | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,350 | 950 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 or more | 1 | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,350 | 950 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 15,900 | 11,100 | 1,750 | 1,250 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 13,300 | 9,300 | 1,750 | 1,250 |

Note: ADT volumes assume 8th highest hour is $5.6 \%$ of the daily volume

ADT on Minor St.
(higher-volume approach)
$100 \%$

Warrants $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
$70 \%$ <br>
\hline 2,650

$\quad$

Warrants <br>
2,650
\end{tabular}

Approach Volumes Minimum Volumes

Is Signal Warrant Met?

Warrant 1
Condition A: Minimum Vehicular Volume

| Major Street | 13,340 | 8,850 | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Street* | 1,100 | 2,650 |  |

Condition B: Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Major Street | 13,340 | 13,300 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Street* | 1,100 | 1,350 |

Combination Warrant

| Major Street | 13,340 | 10,640 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minor Street* $^{*}$ | 1,100 | 2,120 |

No

* Minor street right-turning traffic volumes reduced by $100 \%$.


## Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis



Project: 21029 - Autumn Sunrise
Intersection: SW Boones Ferry Road/Site Access - Northbound
Date: 6/30/2021
Scenario: 2026 Buildout - Phases 1-4

Speed? $\quad 45 \mathrm{mph} \quad 72 \mathrm{kmh}$

AM Peak Hour
Right-Turn Volume 32
Approaching DHV 709
Lane Needed? Yes

PM Peak Hour
Right-Turn Volume 104 Approaching DHV 725

Lane Needed? Yes


Note: If there is no right turn lane, a shoulder needs to be provided.
If this intersection is in a rural area and is a connection to a public street, a right turn lane is needed.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | 4 | F | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | O | 2 | 106 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 678 | 35 | 17 | 578 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 2 | 106 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 678 | 35 | 17 | 578 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 150 | 150 | - | 150 | 150 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 753 | 39 | 19 | 642 | 0 |  |



## Notes

$\sim:$ Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 \mathrm{~s} \quad+:$ Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon





| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 943 | - | - | 186 | 293 | 406 | 808 | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 s \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | O | 1 | 79 |  | 44 | 2 | 626 | 126 | 67 | 812 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 626 | 126 | 67 | 812 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stor | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 150 | 150 | - | 150 | 150 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 95 | 92 | 95 | 92 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 1 | 83 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 659 | 133 | 71 | 855 | 0 |  |



## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 s \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | $\hat{1}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | O | 2 | 120 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 678 | 51 | 33 | 578 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 678 | 51 | 33 | 578 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stor | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 150 | 150 | - | 150 | 150 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 2 | 133 | 0 | 73 | 1 | 753 | 57 | 37 | 642 | 0 |  |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1540 | 1532 | 646 | 1480 | 1475 | 761 | 642 | 0 | 0 | 814 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 716 | 716 | - | 759 | 759 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 824 | 816 | - | 721 | 716 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.1 | 6.52 | 6.2 | 4.12 | - |  | 4.15 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.1 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.1 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.5 | 4.018 | 3.3 | 2.218 | - |  | 2.245 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 94 | 117 | 472 | $\sim 105$ | 126 | 409 | 943 | - | - | 800 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 421 | 434 | - | 402 | 415 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 367 | 391 | - | 422 | 434 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 74 | 111 | 470 | $\sim 100$ | 120 | 406 | 943 | - | - | 797 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 74 | 111 | - | 284 | 299 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 421 | 414 | - | 400 | 413 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 299 | 389 | - | 399 | 414 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 26.7 |  |  | 23.9 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | VBLn1V | WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 943 | - | - | 169 | 284 | 406 | 797 |  | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.001 | - | - | 0.02 | 0.469 | 0.181 | 0.046 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.8 | - | - | 26.7 | 28.4 | 15.8 | 9.7 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | D | D | C | A | - | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | - | - |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  |  |  | +: Computation Not Defined |  |  |  | *: All major volume in platoon |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{+}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | O | 1 | 86 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 626 | 134 | 75 | 812 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 1 | 86 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 626 | 134 | 75 | 812 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stor | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 150 | 150 | - | 150 | 150 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 95 | 92 | 95 | 92 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 1 | 91 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 659 | 141 | 79 | 855 | 0 |  |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1776 | 1819 | 857 | 1681 | 1678 | 663 | 855 | 0 | 0 | 802 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1013 | 1013 | - | 665 | 665 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 763 | 806 | - | 1016 | 1013 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.1 | 6.52 | 6.2 | 4.12 | - |  | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.1 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.1 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.5 | 4.018 | 3.3 | 2.218 | - |  | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 64 | 78 | 357 | $\sim 76$ | 95 | 465 | 785 | - | - | 822 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 288 | 316 | - | 453 | 458 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 397 | 395 | - | 289 | 316 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 52 | 70 | 356 | $\sim 70$ | 85 | 463 | 785 | - | - | 820 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 52 | 70 | - | 220 | 240 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 287 | 286 | - | 451 | 456 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 349 | 393 | - | 260 | 286 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 45.5 |  |  | 25.3 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | E |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | VBLn1V | VBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 785 | - |  | 91 | 220 | 463 | 820 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.003 | - |  | 0.024 | 0.411 | 0.118 | 0.096 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 9.6 | - | - | 45.5 | 32.3 | 13.8 | 9.9 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | E | D | B | A | - | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | - |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Notes }}{\sim \cdot \text { Volume exceeds capacity }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  |  |  | +: Computation Not Defined |  |  |  | *: All major volume in platoon |  |  |

Intersection: 6: SW Boones Ferry Road \& Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 187 | 128 | 6 | 72 | 10 | 44 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 65 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 | 136 | 60 | 4 | 35 | 6 | 32 | 15 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 318 | 1445 |  |  | 601 |  |  | 1805 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 | 150 |  | 150 | 150 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 2

Intersection: 6: SW Boones Ferry Road \& Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 18 | 109 | 29 | 30 | 22 | 26 | 55 | 38 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 40 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 13 | 84 | 29 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 44 | 17 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 318 | 1810 |  |  | 670 |  |  | 1804 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 | 150 | 150 |  | 150 | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: SW Boones Ferry Road \& Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 140 | 48 | 12 | 88 | 10 | 32 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 59 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 17 | 124 | 42 | 6 | 42 | 5 | 28 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 318 | 1445 |  |  | 601 |  |  | 1805 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 | 150 |  | 150 | 150 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 1

Intersection: 6: SW Boones Ferry Road \& Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 163 | 47 | 29 | 33 | 27 | 61 | 9 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 65 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 25 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 17 | 131 | 38 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 51 | 5 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 318 | 1810 |  |  | 670 |  |  | 1804 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 2 | 150 | 150 |  | 150 | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: SW Boones Ferry Road \& Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 224 | 171 | 5 | 76 | 32 | 54 | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 85 | 33 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 17 | 185 | 99 | 3 | 37 | 13 | 42 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 318 | 1445 |  |  | 601 |  |  | 1805 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 | 150 |  | 150 | 150 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 5

Intersection: 6: SW Boones Ferry Road \& Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LT | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 193 | 130 | 6 | 46 | 41 | 65 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 82 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 25 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 | 174 | 72 | 6 | 19 | 20 | 51 | 26 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 318 | 1810 |  |  | 670 |  |  | 1804 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 | 150 |  | 150 | 150 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, 2017.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Transportation Research Board, National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 684, Enhancing Internal Trip Capture Estimation for Mixed-Use Developments, 2006.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition, 2016.

