Lam Research Corporation TUD CMS Addition Tualatin, Oregon

Preliminary Stormwater Report

Date:	February 21, 2020
Owner:	Lam Research Corporation 2025 Gateway Place, Suite 228 San Jose, California 95110
Client:	SSOE Group 7431 NW Evergreen Parkway, Suite 110 Hillsboro, Oregon 97124
Engineering Contact:	John P. Christiansen, PE (503) 563-6151 johnc@aks-eng.com
Engineering Firm:	AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC 12965 SW Herman Road, Suite 100 Tualatin, Oregon 97062
AKS Job Number:	7860



Lam Research Corporation TUD CMS Addition Tualatin, Oregon

Preliminary Stormwater Report

Date:	February 21, 2020
Owner:	Lam Research Corporation 2025 Gateway Place, Suite 228 San Jose, California 95110
Client:	SSOE Group 7431 NW Evergreen Parkway, Suite 110 Hillsboro, Oregon 97124
Engineering Contact:	John P. Christiansen, PE (503) 563-6151 johnc@aks-eng.com
Engineering Firm:	AKS Engineering & Forestry, LLC 12965 SW Herman Road, Suite 100 Tualatin, Oregon 97062
AKS Job Number:	7860





RENEWAL DATE: 12/31/21

Table of Contents

1.0	Purpose of Report1				
2.0	Project Location/Description1				
3.0	Regulatory Design Criteria				
	3.1	STORMWATER QUANTITY	. 1		
	3.2	HYDROMODIFICATION	. 2		
	3.3	STORMWATER QUALITY	. 2		
4.0	Desig	n Methodology	.2		
5.0	Desig	n Parameters	.3		
	5.1	DESIGN STORMS	. 3		
	5.2	PRE-DEVELOPED SITE CONDITIONS	. 3		
		5.2.1 Site Topography	. 3		
		5.2.2 Land Use	. 3		
	5.3	SOIL TYPE	. 3		
	5.4	POST-DEVELOPED SITE CONDITIONS	.3		
		5.4.1 Site Topography	.3		
		5.4.2 Land Use	.4		
		5.4.3 Post-Developed Input Parameters	.4		
		5.4.4 Description of Off-Site Contributing Basins	.4		
6.0	Storm	nwater Analyses	.4		
	6.1	PROPOSED STORMWATER CONDUIT SIZING AND INLET SPACING	.4		
	6.2	PROPOSED STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL FACILITY	4		
	6.3	HYDROMODIFICATION	4		
	6.4	PROPOSED STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL FACILITY	4		
	6.5	DOWNSTREAM ANALYSIS	.5		

Tables

Table 5-1:	Rainfall Intensities	3
Table 5-2:	Hydrologic Soil Group Ratings	3
Table 6-1:	Pond B Treatment Area Summary	1
Table 6-2:	Pond B Impervious Area Summary	5

Exhibits

EXHIBIT A: VICINITY MAP EXHIBIT B: STORM DRAIN FACILITIES MAP EXHIBIT C: PROJECT AREA STORMWATER CATCHMENT MAP

Appendices

APPENDIX A: PEAK FLOW CALCULATIONS – HYDROCAD ANALYSIS APPENDIX B: USDA-NRCS SOIL RESOURCE REPORT APPENDIX C: TR55 RUNOFF CURVE NUMBERS APPENDIX D: PIPE SIZING CAPACITIES FROM 2001 NOVELLUS STORM CALCULATIONS

Preliminary Stormwater Report Lam Research – TUD CMS Addition Tualatin, Oregon

1.0 Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to analyze the effects the proposed development will have on the existing stormwater conveyance system; document the criteria, methodology, and informational sources used to design the proposed stormwater system; and present the results of the hydraulic analysis.

2.0 Project Location/Description

The project is located in the central region of the Lam Research Industrial Campus, approximately 1,500feet northwest of the intersection of Leveton Drive and SW 108th Avenue. The site address is 11361 Leveton Drive, Tualatin, Oregon, 97062 (Tax Lot 100, Tax Map 2S 1 22AB).

The project consists of a ±6,900-square-foot building addition, paved side yards, and relocation of existing private underground utilities. Stormwater runoff generated by the project will use available capacity within an existing stormwater facility for water quantity and quality management. The existing facility is an extended dry basin pond, identified as "Pond B" in prior stormwater management reports prepared for former projects on-site. The project's hydromodification impacts will be mitigated through a Hydromodification Fee-In-Leu, in accordance with newly adopted Clean Water Services (CWS) stormwater requirements for hydromodification.

The results of this stormwater analysis are based on similar assumptions and the available capacity documented in a former drainage report, titled *Stormwater Management Report*, prepared for the Lam Research Parking Master Plan project (City of Tualatin AR-16-0010), by Mackenzie, with a final revision date of August 10, 2017.

Additional information used in the preparation of this report also references the drainage report prepared for the original site development, titled *Storm Calculations – Novellus Tualatin, Oregon,* by Mackenzie, with a final revision date of March 6, 2001.

3.0 Regulatory Design Criteria

3.1 STORMWATER QUANTITY

Per CWS *Design and Construction Standards* (R&O 19-5), Section 4.02 – Water Quantity Control Requirements for Conveyance Capacity, on-site detention is required when any of the following conditions exist:

- 1. There is an identified downstream deficiency and the District or City determines that detention rather than conveyance system enlargement is the more effective solution.
- 2. There is an identified regional detention site within the boundary of the development.
- 3. Water quantity facilities are required by District-adopted watershed management plans or adopted subbasin master plans or District-approved subbasin strategy.

An existing on-site stormwater facility will be used for stormwater quantity management and no modifications to the facility are proposed.



3.2 HYDROMODIFICATION

Per CWS R&O 19-5, Section 4.03 – Hydromodification Approach Requirements, the implementation or funding of techniques to reduce impacts to the downstream receiving water body is required when a new development, or other activities, creates or modifies 1,000 square feet or more of impervious surfaces or increases the amount or rate of surface water leaving the site. The following techniques may be used to mitigate impacts to the downstream receiving water body:

- a. Construction of permanent LIDA designed in accordance with this Chapter; or
- *b.* Construction of a permanent stormwater detention facility designed in accordance with this Chapter; or
- c. Construction or funding of a hydromodification approach that is consistent with a District-approved subbasin strategy; or
- d. Payment of a Hydromodification Fee-In-Lieu.

Per Section 4.03.2, unless specifically waived in writing by the District, a Hydromodification Assessment is required of all activities described in Section 4.03.1, unless the activity meets any of the following criteria:

- a. The project results in the addition and/or modification of less than 12,000 square feet of impervious surface.
- b. The project is located within a District-approved subbasin strategy with an identified regional stormwater management approach for hydromodification.

This project will result in the addition and/or modification of 11,997 square feet of impervious surface. Therefore, per Section 4.03.2.a, a hydromodification assessment is not required. Hydromodification will be addressed by a payment of a Hydromodification Fee-In-Lieu in accordance with District rates and charges.

3.3 STORMWATER QUALITY

Per CWS R&O 19-5, Section 4.04 Water Quality Treatment Requirements, an on-site water quality approach is required when a new development or other activities create or modify 1,000 square feet or greater of impervious surfaces, or increase the amount of stormwater runoff or pollution leaving the site.

An existing on-site stormwater facility will be used for stormwater quality management and no modifications to the facility are proposed.

4.0 Design Methodology

Per the 2001 Novellus Storm Calculations, existing storm drainage piping and detention volumes were sized using Soil Conservation Service (SCS) methodology. This method utilizes the SCS Type 1A 24-hour design storm. The former stormwater calculation procedures used for the original design are still applicable under current CWS standards. Representative curve numbers (CNs) obtained from *Technical Release 55* (TR-55) for the project area are included in Appendix C.



5.0 Design Parameters

5.1 DESIGN STORMS

Per CWS requirements, design storms used in peak flow hydrologic analyses shall utilize a 24-hour duration. The original 2001 Novellus Storm Calculations used SCS calculation methods to size storm drainage piping and detention ponds. The rainfall intensities used in the prior analysis are still current with present-day standards and are summarized in the table below:

Table 5-1: Rainfall Intensities		
Recurrence Interval	Total Precipitation Depth	
(Years)	(Inches)	
2	2.5	
10	3.45	
25	3.90	

5.2 PRE-DEVELOPED SITE CONDITIONS

5.2.1 Site Topography

This project is located within the central region of a developed industrial campus with localized topography that varies from the overall site. Existing on-site grades are generally flat and drain to the central portion of the project area with slopes up to ± 5 percent. Small landscaped embankments exist in the northwest and eastern portions of the project area with slopes up to a ratio of 3:1, horizontal to vertical. On-site runoff is managed by a private stormwater drainage network that discharges to a private stormwater facility located on the south side of the property near Leveton Drive. The project area has a high point of ± 167 feet around the project boundary and a low point of ± 161 feet near the central region.

5.2.2 Land Use

The project area consists of a grass lawn area bordered by existing buildings and paved parking and private drive aisles.

5.3 SOIL TYPE

Subsurface soils at the project site are classified as Hillsboro Loam according to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Soil Survey for Washington County. The following table lists the Hydrologic Soil Group rating for each soil type:

Table 5-2: Hydrologic Soil Group Ratings			
NRCS Map Unit Hydrologic Soil			
Identification NRCS Soil Classification Group Rating			
21B	Hillsboro Loam	В	

A Soil Group Map and additional information can be found in the NRCS Soil Resource Report included in Appendix B.

5.4 POST-DEVELOPED SITE CONDITIONS

5.4.1 Site Topography

On-site slopes will remain similar to the existing condition.



5.4.2 Land Use

The project will add ±6,900 square feet of building area and ±5,097 square feet of paved side yards.

5.4.3 Post-Developed Input Parameters

Refer to the HydroCAD Analysis in Appendix A.

5.4.4 Description of Off-Site Contributing Basins

This project will modify existing private storm drains within the localized project area to accommodate the building addition. Off-site basins are not evaluated in this analysis.

6.0 Stormwater Analyses

6.1 PROPOSED STORMWATER CONDUIT SIZING AND INLET SPACING

New stormwater drainage piping and inlets will be sized and spaced to properly convey stormwater runoff to the existing private storm drainage network. New storm drainage piping was designed using Manning's equation and sized to convey peak flows generated by the 25-year design storm event. Per the 2001 Novellus Storm Calculations, existing storm drainage piping was designed to convey the 25-year design storm using the SCS methodology. The former design rainfall depths are consistent with present-day standards.

6.2 PROPOSED STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL FACILITY

Stormwater quality treatment for newly created impervious surfaces will be addressed by utilizing excess capacity of an existing stormwater facility that was designed and sized during the initial development to accommodate future improvements on-site. Per the impervious area summary table in the 2017 Parking Master Plan Stormwater Management Report, there are 2.07 acres of unused impervious area capacity within Pond B. The following table summarizes the newly added treatment area and remaining capacity within Pond B after completion of this project:

Table 6-1: Pond B Treatment Area Summary		
Sizing Parameter	Area	
Design Impervious Area	11.66 acres	
Constructed Impervious Area	9.59 acres	
Existing Excess Capacity	2.07 acres	
TUD CMS Addition Impervious Area	0.275 acres	
Unutilized Capacity (after TUD CMS Addition)	1.79 acres	

6.3 HYDROMODIFICATION

This project will result in the addition and/or modification of less than 12,000 square feet of impervious surface. Therefore, per Section 4.03.2.a, a hydromodification assessment is not required. Hydromodification will be addressed by a payment of a Hydromodification Fee-In-Lieu in accordance with District rates and charges.

6.4 PROPOSED STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL FACILITY

Stormwater quantity management for the project will be provided by existing Pond B. The pond was originally designed to detain post-development peak runoff to levels equal to or below pre-development



peak rates for the 2-year, 10-year, and 25-year design rainfall events, for a total of 23.32 acres of impervious area. The following table summarizes the newly added impervious area and remaining capacity within Pond B after completion of this project:

Table 6-2: Pond B Impervious Area Summary			
Sizing Parameter	Area		
Design Impervious Area	23.32 acres		
Constructed Impervious Area	18.35 acres		
Existing Excess Capacity	4.97 acres		
TUD CMS Addition Impervious Area	0.275 acres		
Unused Capacity (after TUD CMS Addition)	4.69 acres		

6.5 DOWNSTREAM ANALYSIS

Increased runoff generated by the project will be managed by existing Pond B, which was designed to accommodate full build-out conditions of the Lam Research campus. Post-developed peak runoff rates will not exceed the rates determined in the original 2001 Novellus Storm Calculations; therefore, the public conveyance system downstream of the site was not reviewed.





Exhibit A: Vicinity Map



DWG: 7860 VICINITY MAP 8_5X11 | VICINITY MAP



Exhibit B: Storm Drain Facilities Map





Exhibit C: Project Area Stormwater Catchment Map



DWG: 7860 POST-DEV MAP | POST-DEV MA



Appendix A: Peak Flow Calculations – HydroCAD Analysis



Post-Developed 25-yr Storm Event Peak Flow Calculations

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: TUD CMS Addition

Runoff = 0.93 cfs @ 7.87 hrs, Volume= 0.299 af, Depth> 3.44"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type IA 24-hr 25-YEAR Rainfall=3.90"

	Area (sf)	CN	Description				
*	40,541	98	Paved/roof	area			
	4,884	79	<50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG B				
45,425 96 Weighted Average 4,884 10.75% Pervious Area 40,541 89.25% Impervious Area							
(Tc Length (min) (feet)	Slope (ft/ft	e Velocity) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description		
5.0 Direct Entry,							
Subcatchment 1S: TUD CMS Addition							





Appendix B: USDA – NRCS Soil Resource Report



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
21B	Hillsboro loam, 3 to 7 percent slopes	В	1.0	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest			1.0	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

USDA

Tie-break Rule: Higher



Appendix C: TR-55 Runoff Curve Numbers

Table 2-2aRunoff curve numbers for urban areas 1/2

Cover description			Curve nu -hvdrologic-	umbers for soil group	
	Average percent		, 8	P	
Cover type and hydrologic condition	impervious area 2/	А	В	С	D
Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established)					
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) ^{3/} :					
Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)		68	79	86	89
Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)		49	69	79	84
Good condition (grass cover > 75%)		39	61	74	80
Impervious areas:					
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc.					
(excluding right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Streets and roads:					
Paved: curbs and storm sewers (excluding					
right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Paved: open ditches (including right-of-way)		83	89	92	93
Gravel (including right-of-way)		76	85	89	91
Dirt (including right-of-way)	••••••	72	82	87	89
Western desert urban areas	••••••	.=	02	01	00
Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only) 4/		63	77	85	88
Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed barrier	••••••	00		00	00
desert shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand or gravel mulch					
and hasin borders)		96	96	96	96
Urhan districts		50	50	50	50
Commercial and business	85	89	92	94	95
Industrial	72	81	88	91	93
Residential districts by average lot size:		01	00	51	55
1/8 acre or less (town houses)	65	77	85	90	02
1/0 acre	38	61	75	83	92 87
1/4 acre	30	57	72	81	86
1/9 acre	25	54	70	80	85
1/2 acre	20	51	68	70	84
2 acros		46	65	77	82
2 acres		40	05		02
Developing urban areas					
Newly graded areas					
(pervious areas only, no vegetation) ^{5/}		77	86	91	94
· · · · · ·					
Idle lands (CN's are determined using cover types					
similar to those in table 2-2c).					

¹ Average runoff condition, and $I_a = 0.2S$.

² The average percent impervious area shown was used to develop the composite CN's. Other assumptions are as follows: impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a CN of 98, and pervious areas are considered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic condition. CN's for other combinations of conditions may be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4.

³ CN's shown are equivalent to those of pasture. Composite CN's may be computed for other combinations of open space

cover type.

⁴ Composite CN's for natural desert landscaping should be computed using figures 2-3 or 2-4 based on the impervious area percentage (CN = 98) and the pervious area CN. The pervious area CN's are assumed equivalent to desert shrub in poor hydrologic condition.

⁵ Composite CN's to use for the design of temporary measures during grading and construction should be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4 based on the degree of development (impervious area percentage) and the CN's for the newly graded pervious areas.



Appendix D: Pipe Sizing Capacities from 2001 Novellus Storm Calculations





UTILITY NOTES

- I. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CITY OF TUALATIN. THE UNIFIED SEWAGE AGENCY, AND THE CURRENT EDITION OF THE UNIFORM FULURIDING CODE AND THE UNIFORM BUILDING CODE. ALL WORK WITHIN THE PUBLIC R.O.W. REQUIRES A PUBLIC WORKS PERMIT.
- THE WORKING DRAWINGS ARE GENERALLY DIAGRAMMATIC. THEY DO NOT SHOW FYERY OFFSET, BEND OR ELBOW REQUIRED FOR INSTALLATION IN TH SPACE PROVIDED. THEY DO NOT SHOW EVERY DURHSION, COMPONENT PIECE, SECTION, JOINT OR FITTING REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT ALL LOCATIONS FOR WORK SHALL BE CHECKED AND COORDINATED WITH ALL COLATIONS FOR MORE SHALL BE CHECKED AND COORDINATED WITH EXISTING CONDITIONS IN THE FIELD BEFORE BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION. EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES LAYING WITHIN THE LIMITS OF EXCA SHALL BE VERIFIED AS TO CONDITION, SIZE AND LOCATION BY UNCOVE PROVIDING SUCH IS FEMILITED BY LOCAL PUBLIC AUTHORITIES WITH RISDICTION, BEFORE BEGINNING
- PROVIDE CLEANOUTS AS REQUIRED IN THE CURRENT UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE CHAPTER 7, SECTIONS 707 AND 719, AND CHAPTER 11, SECTION 103.04. NOTE: NOT ALL REQUIRED CLEANOUTS ARE SHOWN ON THE PLANS.
- ALL STORM PIPING IS SIZED FOR A MANNING'S "N" VALUE = 0.013, ALL STORM PIPING IS DESIGNED USING CONCENTRIC PIPE TO PIPE AND WYE FITTINGS.
- SEE MECHANICAL DRAWINGS FOR UTILITIES LOCATED WITHIN THE BUILDING AND TO 5' OUTSIDE THE BUILDING.
- 6. ALL ROOF DRAIN LEADERS TO BE 8" AT 2.0% MIN. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 7. VERIFY LOCATION, SIZE AND DEPTH OF EXISTING UTILITIES BY POTHOLING PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. NOTIFY ENGINEER OF DISCREPANCIES.
- TO COMMONING THE COMPLEX OF DEMONSTRE WETER VALUE AND BACKFLOW PREVENTER VALUE TO THE DOUBLE DETECTOR CHECK VALUE (INNE) VALUE PREVENTER VALUE TO THE DOUBLE DETECTOR CHECK VALUE (INNE) VALUE DATE VALUE (INNE) VALUE (INNE) SUMP PUILE TO DATIONAL THE ATENDEST COMBI-LINE WITH BACKFLOW VALUE (FROM SUMP PUILE TO DATIONAL THE ATENDEST COMBI-LINE WITH BACKFLOW VALUE (FROM SUMP PUILE TO DATIONAL THE ATENDEST COMBI-LINE WITH BACKFLOW VALUE (FROM SUMP PUILE TO DATIONAL THE ATENDEST COMBI-TURNIST & INCIDINATE TO THE POILE TO CONTRACTOR FOR FLOW SENSOR INSTALLATION AND COMBILIT ROUMINEMENTS.
- THE SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN AS A BACKGROUND SCREEN ON THIS SHEET IS BASED ON A SURVEY PREPARED BY HICKMAN AND ASSOCIATES.
- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE POWER TO IRRIGATION CONTROLLER. SEE S AND LANDSCAPE PLANS.
- SEE BUILDING PLUMBING DRAWINGS FOR PIPING WITHIN THE BUILDING AND UP TO 5' OUTSIDE THE BUILDING, INCLUDING ANY FOUNDATION DRAINAGE PIPING.
- PROVIDE MINIMUM 12'x8'x12" THICK TYPE II RIP-RAP AT 12" AND LARGER STORM OUTFALLS. PROVIDE MINIMUM 8'x6'x12" THICK TYPE II RIP-RAP AT 10" AND SMALLER OUTFALLS.

PROPOSED UTILITY LEGEND

STORM SEWER LINE	
SANITARY SEWER LINE	
FIRE WATER LINE	F.W
WATER METER	[6]
MANHOLE	۲
CATCH BASIN/DITCH INLET	
FIELD INLET	0
FIRE HYDRANT ASSEMBLY	Ŧ
UNLESS NOTED	U.N.

EXISTING UTILITY LEGEND

SITE BOUNDARY	
ADJOINING OR INTERIOR PROPERTY LINE	
RIGHT-OF-WAY CENTERLINE	
WATER LINE	
GAS LINE	
SANITARY SEWER LINE	mentering a manufacture of the second
UNDERGROUND TELEPHONE LINE (CTE)	
STORM DRAINAGE LINE	
UNDERGROUND POWER LINE	
OVERHEAD POWER LINE	
FIRE HYDRANT	22
WATER VALVE	53
WATER METER	9"
CAS VALVE	123
CATCH BASIN	10
CURB LINE	
EDGE OF PAVEMENT	
STREET SIGN	1 (per
SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE	(2)
EVERGREEN TREE WITH DIAMETER	<i>9</i> ₁
DECIDUOUS TREE WITH DIAMETER	(2)
CHAIN LINK FENCE LINE	
LIGHT POLE	9
6" BOLLARD	9
ROOF DRAIN (SHOOT ON ROOF)	
GAS METER	d"
POWER TRANSFORMER	
CAMERA TOWER	4
POWER POLE	-0-
GUY ANCHOR	6
SIDE INLET CATCH BASIN	10
MAIL BOX	1
STORM SEWER MANHOLE	



Architecture, Structural Enginee Architecture, Structural Eng Civil Engineer Group Mackenzie 0690 SW Bancroft Street Portland, Oregan 97201 Phone: (503)224-9560 FAX: (503)228-1285 Group Mackenzie Consultan

Landscape Architect Greenworks PC Phone: (503)222-5612 FAX: (503)222-2283

Safety & Health Consultant Miller Safety Phone: (503)243-1040 FAX: (503)243-1470

 PAX:
 (3/3)2*3-1*70

 Design Builder
 SwiherHon Builders

 3030 SW Moody Avenue
 Suite 250

 Portland, Oregon 97201
 Phone:

 Phone:
 (503)222-2000

 FAX:
 (503)478-2500
Swinerton Consultants

Mechanical Engineer Southland Industries Phone: (503)255-7118 FAX: (503)255-7955

Mechanical Consultant Kinetics Phone: (503)224-5200 FAX: (503)224-8521

Electrical Engineer Oregon Electric Phone: (503)234-9900 FAX: (503)231-3587

Electrical Consultant Glumac Internation Phone: (503)227-5280 FAX: (503)274-7674



EXPIRES: 12/31/01

GROUP MACKENZIE 2000 ALL RICHTS RESERVED THESE DRAWINGS ARE THE PROPERTY GROUP MAGKENZIE AND ARE NOT TO I USED OR REPRODUCED IN ANY MANNE WITHOUT PRICE WRITTEN PERMISSION

REVISIONS:



-	 	
_	 	
_	 	

SHEET TITLE: SITE

STORM SEWER PLAN PHASE I

FIRST ISSUED: LAST ISSUED:

DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY:

GROUP MACKENZIE JOB NO. CONSULTANT JOB NO.

C5.0

000366



STORM SEWERS

		TIAIE .		$\frac{In}{H} \qquad AREA \\ Acras $				• * -		SEWER DESIGN				PROFILE			
*SEMER LOOM	# H.W	T0 M.H. #	INCR. TIME	TOTAL TIME (To Upper End)	INTENSITY (1)	INCR. AREA	COER OF RUNDEF (c)	INCR. EQUIV. (C.A.)	NOTAL EOUN. AREA INCREM. Stern CJ.	RUNOFF (C.F.S.) (1)	SLCPE (%)	DIAMETER (IN.)	CAFACITY (CES.)	VELOCITY (F.P.S.),	LENGTH (ft.)		
					6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1									0.07	6.07	2,0	6"	0.86	·	- <u></u>		
Rown 63					·				0.07	0.14/	0.5	12*	2.73				
120411 (J)									0.07	0-21	0.5	$\frac{12"}{12"}$	2.73			>	
RO # 15,16 (50)									0.32	0.33	<u> </u>		2.12		Pipe	Capaci	tv Z
			Pipe	e # 5	9 –	<u> </u>			······	4.36	2.65	18"	18.53		= 2.0	6 cfs	
(52) + (56) = (57)								<u></u>	017	4.53	2.65	18 "	18.53				
Cr3 \$1412 53					<u></u>								ľ		ì		
•									0.59	0.58	UN	10'	2,06				
(B#43 FUT#3 (5)									<u>0 /8</u>	0.76	0.75	10	2.06	<u></u>		$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \cdot \rangle$
CB # 41, RD # 11 (3)									0.28	1.04	0.49	<u>p'</u>	2.70		·	$\langle \rangle$	
(15 0 41), 03) 018 (C)							·								·		$\langle \cdot \rangle$
60 + 60 = 60										5.57	2.65	12	6,20			\leq	
C3 #46 (G)									0.20	5.77	2.65	14	6.49				\sim
									0.24	6.24	2%	٤*	0.86			\leq	\geq
C3 H 47 (4)												. <u> </u>					
1 · ·				[I	l		I.				,

G