

Tualatin Transportation System Plan, Preliminary Evaluation Results

PREPARED FOR: Tualatin Transportation Task Force

COPY TO: Kaaren Hofmann, City of Tualatin
Alice Rouyer, City of Tualatin
Dayna Webb, City of Tualatin

PREPARED BY: Terra Lingley, CH2M HILL
Theresa Carr, CH2M HILL
Darren Hippenstiel, CH2M HILL
Kate Lyman, CH2M HILL
Alan Snook, DKS Associates

DATE: February 14, 2013

This memorandum summarizes the preliminary evaluation results of the Tualatin Transportation System Plan (TSP)'s feasible project ideas. It presents both the methodology used to perform the evaluation and the evaluation summary at a project goal level. Maps identifying the location of each project idea and next steps are also included.

The TSP's technical team reviewed each of the projects identified as feasible against a set of evaluation criteria. The evaluation criteria, nested into each project objective, and further nested within each project goal category, are quantitative or qualitative measures that help the team identify how well the project idea is at meeting the TSP's goals and objectives. These goals and objectives were created by the Transportation Task Force (TTF) and reviewed by the community, and accepted by City Council. There are seven goal categories:

1. Access/Mobility
2. Safety
3. Vibrant Community
4. Economy
5. Health/Environment
6. Equity
7. Ability to be Implemented

Ratings

Each project was evaluated against all evaluation criteria by one or more members of the project team, and reviewed by the project management team as a group. The scale used for the evaluation is as follows:

Evaluation Results Rating Scale

| Rating | Description |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ● | The project idea addresses the criterion and/or makes substantial improvements in the criteria category |
| ◐ | The project idea partially addresses the criterion and/or makes some improvements in the criteria category |
| ○ | The project idea does not support the intent of and/or negatively impacts the criteria category |
| N/A | The project idea neither meets nor does not meet intent of criterion. The project idea has no effect, or criterion does not apply |

The results of the preliminary evaluation are included by Working Group topic, which are:

- Bicycle and Pedestrian
- Downtown
- Industrial and Freight
- Major Corridors and Intersections
- Neighborhood Livability
- Transit

Scores for each individual project idea are included at the end of this memo. Cells highlighted in yellow indicate that the team recommends further analysis of this concept as part of a larger corridor or interchange assessment. Many project ideas spanned more than one topic area. Although concepts were reviewed only once, the evaluation results are reported under each Working Group topic area.

How will this Information be Used?

The focus of the May 24th TTF meeting will be to review the preliminary evaluation results. These will also be used as a basis for the third round of Working Group meetings, held in the first half of June. This next round of Working Group meetings will discuss the evaluations, discuss how well project ideas address identified needs and deficiencies, and prepare preliminary recommendations for the TSP. These project ideas will be organized into three categories:

1. What projects completely make sense and should become part of the TSP?
2. What projects do not make sense, and should not become a part of the TSP?
3. What projects need to be considered more, either in relation to different alternatives to address one problem, or in the context of how a corridor or segment operates as a whole.

The June 21 TTF meeting will review the developments from this third round of Working Group meetings, and preliminary recommendations will be forwarded to the community as a whole for review over the summer months. At this time the third category of ideas will be refined in more detail, with additional traffic or engineering analysis, and discussed with staff, reviewing agencies, and the community.