MEETING AGENDA



TRANSPORTATION TASK FORCE WORKING GROUP: Industrial and Freight Movement

June 13, 2012, 11:30 a.m.
Operations Center Training Rm
10699 SW Herman Rd

1. WELCOME AND CALL TO ORDER

- A. Introductions
- B. Background: Where We Are in the Process
- C. Purpose of Meeting Today
 - 1. Review Initial Project Evaluation
 - 2. Suggest projects that should not move forward in the TSP
 - 3. Prioritize the most important projects

2. PRESENTATION

- A. Evaluation Results
- B. Questions

3. SMALL GROUP DISCUSSION

- A. Discuss Evaluation Results
- B. Projects to keep, let go, study further
- C. Identify most beneficial projects, suggest projects to remove

4. CLOSING

A. Review Small Group Discussion Results

5. **NEXT MEETING**

A. Working Group: Major Corridors & Intersections - June 14

B. Working Group: Transit - June 28



Tualatin Transportation System Plan, Preliminary Evaluation Results

PREPARED FOR: Tualatin Transportation Task Force

COPY TO: Kaaren Hofmann, City of Tualatin

Alice Rouyer, City of Tualatin Dayna Webb, City of Tualatin

PREPARED BY: Terra Lingley, CH2M HILL

Theresa Carr, CH2M HILL

Darren Hippenstiel, CH2M HILL

Kate Lyman, CH2M HILL Alan Snook, DKS Associates

DATE: May 25, 2012

This memorandum summarizes the preliminary evaluation results of the Tualatin Transportation System Plan (TSP)'s feasible project ideas. It presents both the methodology used to perform the evaluation and the evaluation summary at a project goal level. Maps identifying the location of each project idea and next steps are also included.

The TSP's technical team reviewed each of the projects identified as feasible against a set of evaluation criteria. The evaluation criteria, nested into each project objective, and further nested within each project goal category, are quantitative or qualitative measures that help the team identify how well the project idea is at meeting the TSP's goals and objectives. These goals and objectives were created by the Transportation Task Force (TTF) and reviewed by the community, and accepted by City Council. There are seven goal categories:

- 1. Access/Mobility
- 2. Safety
- 3. Vibrant Community
- 4. Economy
- 5. Health/Environment
- 6. Equity
- 7. Ability to be Implemented

Ratings

Each project was evaluated against all evaluation criteria by one or more members of the project team, and reviewed by the project management team as a group. The scale used for the evaluation is as follows:

Evaluation Results Rating Scale

Rating	Description
•	The project idea addresses the criterion and/or makes substantial improvements in the criteria category
•	The project idea partially addresses the criterion and/or makes some improvements in the criteria category
Ο	The project idea does not support the intent of and/or negatively impacts the criteria category
N/A	The project idea neither meets nor does not meet intent of criterion. The project idea has no effect, or criterion does not apply

The results of the preliminary evaluation are included by Working Group topic, which are:

- Bicycle and Pedestrian
- Downtown
- Industrial and Freight
- Major Corridors and Intersections
- Neighborhood Livability
- Transit

Scores for each individual project idea are included at the end of this memo. Cells highlighted in yellow indicate that the team recommends further analysis of this concept as part of a larger corridor or interchange assessment. Many project ideas spanned more than one topic area. Although concepts were reviewed only once, the evaluation results are reported under each Working Group topic area.

How will this Information be Used?

The focus of the May 24th TTF meeting will be to review the preliminary evaluation results. These will also be used as a basis for the third round of Working Group meetings, held in the first half of June. This next round of Working Group meetings will discuss the evaluations, discuss how well project ideas address identified needs and deficiencies, and prepare preliminary recommendations for the TSP. These project ideas will be organized into three categories:

- 1. What projects completely make sense and should become part of the TSP?
- 2. What projects do not make sense, and should not become a part of the TSP?
- 3. What projects need to be considered more, either in relation to different alternatives to address one problem, or in the context of how a corridor or segment operates as a whole.

The June 21 TTF meeting will review the developments from this third round of Working Group meetings, and preliminary recommendations will be forwarded to the community as a whole for review over the summer months. At this time the third category of ideas will be refined in more detail, with additional traffic or engineering analysis, and discussed with staff, reviewing agencies, and the community.

Industrial and Freight Preliminary Project Evaluation

	ID	Project Idea	Access / Mobility	Safety	Vibrant Community	Economy	Health / Environment	Equity	Ability to be Implemented
	A1	Add a signal or roundabout at Sagert/ Martinazzi	•	•	•	•	•	0	•
	<u>A2</u>	Divert truck traffic from Tualatin Road to Herman Road	•	N/A	•	•	•	•	•
	A5	Extend 124th Ave south	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
⊊I	A6	Provide coordinated signal timing and access management along major arterials	•	•	•	•	N/A	N/A	•
Congestion	<u>A7</u>	Remove NB right turn light on Boones Ferry Road	•	0	-	•	N/A	N/A	•
Cong	<u>A9</u>	Improvements to help mobility of through-traffic on Tualatin-Sherwood Rd	•	•	•	•	0	•	•
	A11	Address congestion on Avery and Teton	•	•	N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
	<u>A12</u>	Synchronize turn signals to/from Boones Ferry to Tualatin-Sherwood; coordinate with the train signal	•	N/A	•	•	N/A	N/A	•
	<u>A13</u>	Widen Boones Ferry Rd through downtown	•	•	•	•	0	•	0
	B1	Expand service hours of chamber shuttle to nights and weekends	•	N/A	•	•	•	•	•
<u>Transit</u>	B2	Add rail station with easy offload and access for industry in the west part of town	•	N/A	•	•	•	•	•
	В3	Provide local loop bus	•	N/A	•	•	_	•	0
Connect- ivity	<u>C3</u>	Provide north-south vehicle connectivity over Tualatin River	•	•	•	•	•	•	0
	C4	Add a left- right turn from Teton Ave to Tualatin <u>-Sherwood</u> Rd	<u>●</u> N/A	<u>•</u> N/A	<u>-</u> N/A	<u>●</u> N/A	<u>•</u> N/A	<u>-N/A</u>	<u>●</u> ↔

	ID	Project Idea	Access / Mobility	Safety	Vibrant Community	Economy	Health / Environment	Equity	Ability to be Implemented
	C5	Extend 65th Ave north	•	•	•	•	•	•	0
	C6	Improve 115th Ave	•	•	0	-	_	•	•
	<u>C7</u>	Improve cross-section on Herman Rd	•	•	0	•	•	•	•
	<u>C8</u>	Add signal to Tualatin and Boones Ferry intersection	•	•	N/A		0	•	0
	C 9	Consider removing trucks/adding truck info signs along 108th/105th Aves	0	N/A	•	0	•	0	•
	C10	Extend 95th Ave north to Tualatin Rd	•	•	0	-	0	0	0
Cont.	C12	Create an east/west connection across I-5 (near Greenhill Rd)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Connectivity, Cont.	C13	Provide travel options by improving connectivity in the roadway system	•	•		-	•	•	•
	C14	Widen Myslony St to standards - reduce on-street parking	•	•	N/A	•	N/A	•	•
3	C15	Upgrade Cipole Rd to standards with sidewalks and bike lanes	-	7	•	•	•	•	•
	C16	Improve Tonquin Rd between Oregon St and Waldo Way	•	•	N/A	•	N/A	•	•
	C17	Improve circulation east of the Bridgeport/I-5 Interchange	-		•	•	•	•	•
	D1	Coordinate freight receiving/ shipping times	•	•	•	•	N/A	N/A	•
	D2	Add vision and sound walls; reduce cut- through traffic	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
	D3	Provide incentives to telecommute	•	•	N/A	•	•	•	•
Other	<u>D5</u>	Add lane on Tualatin-Sherwood to Fred Meyer, better I-5 lane signage, add red light camera	•	•	0	•	•	N/A	•
	D6	Improve signs to direct traffic to correct street	•	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	<u>D7</u>	Add traffic signal at 97th Ave and Tualatin- Sherwood Rd	•	•	•	•	•	N/A	•

	ID	Project Idea	Access / Mobility	Safety	Vibrant Community	Economy	Health / Environment	Equity	Ability to be Implemented
	<u>D8</u>	Improve visibility, add signal restrict left	_	_	•	0	•	_	•
		turns from 108th onto Tualatin							
	<u>D9</u>	Add a signal at Tualatin Rd and Teton Ave/Jurgens Rd	•	N/A	•		-	•	•
	D10	Improve Tualatin-Sherwood and	_	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	_
	D10	Martinazzi signal timing		IN/ A	N/A		IN/ A	N/A	•
	D11	Encourage off-peak usage on Herman Rd	_	N/A	N/A		•	N/A	_
	<u> </u>	and Tualatin-Sherwood Rd		,	,			, , .	
	D12	Make "Truck Route" signs larger	N/A	N/A	_	•	N/A	N/A	•
	D13	Add traffic calming on Tualatin Road	Ö	•	•	0	-	•	•
	D14	Add measures to reduce truck traffic on	0	•	•	0	•	•	•
		local and minor streets							
	D15	Improve turning radius from Herman Rd	•	-	N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
		northbound onto 108th Ave							
ان	D16	Increase speed limit to 40 or 45 MPH on	•	N/A	N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
ont		124th Ave							
Other, Cont.	D17	Reconfigure the intersection of 115th and			N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
he	D40	Tualatin-Sherwood			21/2		N1 / A	N1 / A	
히	D18	Improve turning radius from Tualatin- Sherwood to Cipole			N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
	D19	Improve NB right and left turns onto		_	N/A	•	N/A	N/A	_
	213	Herman			,		.,,,,	, , .	
	D20	Improve southbound left turns at 63rd and	•	•	N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
		Lower Boones Ferry			•		·	•	
	D21	Improve SB left turns from Jurgens and	•	•	N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
		106th onto Tualatin							
	D22	Improve 65th Ave south across I-205;	•	•	N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
		widen and address dip in the roadway							
	D23	Ensure that future roundabout designs can	•	•	N/A	•	N/A	N/A	•
		accommodate larger trucks							

